	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/674,768	NEUHAUS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Russell Kallis	1638
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Russell_Kallis</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kalpana Reddy</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 22 December 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: all		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER A proposed Examiner's amendment to bring the claims into cond.		DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		·
Rumel Callin	-	
	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)